

**CODE OF PUBLICATION ETHICS OF THE JOURNAL
«SCIENCE AND TRANSPORT PROGRESS.
BULLETIN OF DNIPROPETROVSK NATIONAL
UNIVERSITY OF RAILWAY TRANSPORT»**

As part of its editorial policy, the journal «Science and Transport Progress. Bulletin of Dnipropetrovsk National University of Railway Transport» consistently complies with the publishing standards of [Code of Conduct](#) approved by [COPE \(Committee on Publication Ethics\)](#).

The Code of Ethics for journal publications is a set of rules on which relationships between authors, editors, reviewers, and publishing staff are built in the process of submitting, reviewing, and publishing manuscripts. Compliance with ethical norms and regulations by all participants in the process of publishing scientific materials contributes to the protection of copyrights for intellectual property, improving the quality of publishing in the eyes of the professional community and eliminating the possibility of misuse of copyrighted materials in the interests of individuals.

Responsibilities of Editor-in-Chief

Editor-in-Chief of the journal «Science and Transport Progress. Bulletin of Dnipropetrovsk National University of Railway Transport» manages the publication and is responsible for making decisions regarding the publication of manuscripts submitted, guided by the accuracy of the submission and the scientific importance of the work under consideration.

Articles that are of value from a scientific point of view and contribute to solving topical theoretical and practical problems are accepted for publication regardless of gender, race, sexual orientation, ethnic origin, religion, citizenship or political views of the author. Compliance of the submitted manuscripts with the ["Rules for Authors"](#) is also mandatory.

Editor-in-Chief (according to the recommendations of the responsible editors of journal's sections) decides that individual manuscripts of eminent scientists and authors specially invited by the editorial staff for writing an article may be exempted from the standard review procedure.

Editor-in-Chief and the editorial board exclude the possibility of interfering with business interests in intellectual and ethical standards. All sources of any financial support for the research project must be indicated in the manuscript.

Editor-in-Chief, in conjunction with the publication team, investigates the authors' claims regarding the manuscripts or published material and provides the author with a written response.

Responsibilities of reviewers

All articles submitted to the editorial board are reviewed, except for reviews and information reports, except for reviews and informational reports.

Each manuscript is pre-evaluated by the section editor for compliance with the journal field and the minimum requirements.

After a positive decision, the manuscript is sent for review to two external experts working in the relevant field. The manuscript undergoes double-blind peer

review (which is conducted confidentially according to the principles of double-blind peer review when the author and reviewer are unfamiliar with each other).

Following the ethics of scientific expertise, the reviewer adheres to the pronounced principles. Herewith, at each level of reviewing, the reviewer, by carrying out scientific examination of the materials in the author's manuscript, provides an objective and reasoned assessment of the research results, except for personal criticism of the author. The reviewer's recommendations to the author provide a comprehensive analysis of the advantages and disadvantages of the article and are aimed solely at improving the quality of the author's creative work.

The reviewer, given the confidential nature of the submitted manuscript, does not allow discussion with other persons, except the authorized ones by the Editor-in-Chief.

In the presence of a conflict of interest with any of the authors, the reviewer refuses to work on the scientific examination of the manuscript.

Responsibilities of authors

The author(s) of the article, following the editorial ethics, guarantee that the submitted manuscript is an original work. If the authors have used the work and/or text fragments of other creators of the literary work, then the authors shall provide appropriate references to the published works. Excessive borrowings, as well as plagiarism in any form (ideas, texts, etc.), including unformatted quotations, rephrases, or assignment of rights to the results of other people's research, are unethical and inadmissible.

The author(s) of the submitted manuscript are aware that they are primarily responsible for the originality and reliability of the results of the scientific research. This position provides:

- Provision of reliable research results. Erroneous or fraudulent beliefs are inadmissible;
- recognition of the contribution of all persons who influenced the course of the study. It concerns also the references to the works that were relevant to the study;
- inadmissibility of mentioning persons who did not participate in the study;
- non-acceptance by the author the practice of submitting a manuscript, which was submitted for publication (or already published) to another periodical;
- author's notification of the editorship (within a short time) about significant errors or inaccuracies in the article at the stage of its review or after its publication.